



**Council for the Accreditation
of Emergency Management
& Homeland Security Education
(CAEMHSE)**

www.caemhse.education

**Guide to
Emergency Management
Accreditation Assessment**

31 January 2022

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Scope	3
CAEMHSE Membership	3
Definitions	3
Accreditation and Reaccreditation through the CAEMHSE	5
Professional Accreditation Standards	7
1.0 Resources and Institutional Support	7
2.0 Program Learning Outcomes	10
3.0 Program Curriculum – Emergency Management Undergrad. Degrees	11
4.0 Program Curriculum – Master's Degree	13

TABS

Degree Program Assessment	15
TAB A Standards for Undergraduate Degrees in Emergency Management	19
TAB B Standards for a Graduate (Master's) Degree	21

CAEMHSE also publishes Guides for Homeland Security programs.

See the www.caemhse.education website.

Introduction

Accreditation is a non-governmental, non-profit, self-regulatory, peer review process based on rigorous standards. Accreditation of educational programs demonstrates the academic institution's agreement to provide a legitimate and valuable product to the student. The accreditation process allows an external, impartial review of the educational program by experienced professionals in the field.

The Council for the Accreditation of Emergency Management and Homeland Security Education (CAEMHSE) assesses and accredits associate's, bachelor's, and master's degree programs in emergency management and homeland security. The CAEMHSE can also assess degree minors and concentrations, as well as certificate programs.

It is the mission of the CAEMHSE to:

- improve the quality of education in the fields of emergency management and homeland security,
- increase professionalism,
- foster accountability, and
- offer to the education community a professional assessment of emergency management and homeland security educational programs of higher education in accordance with accepted standards.

Scope

The CAEMHSE accreditation process uses standards developed by members of the Emergency Management Higher Education community over many years, and as described in published articles and documents. These standards are applicable for degree programs that are face-to-face (sometimes referred to as brick and mortar institutions), blended/hybrid, and wholly online.

Membership

Individuals, schools (colleges and universities), and corporate entities are encouraged to support the CAEMHSE through membership (See the Membership link on the website (www.caemhse.education)). This financial support greatly helps the organization's viability and mission accomplishment. CAEMHSE-accredited programs are required to maintain [accredited institution] membership in order to keep their accredited status. Dues and Fees are on the website.

The membership year is 01 August through 31 July.

CAEMHSE Accreditation Definitions

CAEMHSE recognizes and supports the prerogative of institutions to adopt and use terminology of their choice. It is necessary for CAEMHSE volunteers and staff to have a consistent understanding of terminology. With that purpose in mind, the Council will use the following basic definitions:

Program Educational Objectives (PO). Program educational objectives are broad statements that describe what graduates are expected to attain within a few years after

graduation. Program educational objectives are based on the needs of the program's constituencies.

Knowledge Domain (KD). A knowledge domain is a body of knowledge considered to represent a coherent aspect or dimension of professional practice. KDs are supported by acknowledged best practice, policy, and peer reviewed literature. In a degree program, KDs can be operationalized by both program-level learning outcomes and student learning outcomes within the specific KD.

Program-Level Learning Outcomes (PLO). Program-Level Learning Outcomes are larger in scope than student learning outcomes and occur as overall objectives of the entire academic degree program. PLOs are tied to both the literature and best practice and enhance a student's ability to enter and function in the discipline.

Student Learning Outcomes (SLO). Student outcomes describe what students are expected to know and be able to do by the time of graduation. These relate to the knowledge, skills, and behaviors (or abilities) that students acquire as they progress through the program.

Assessment. Assessment is one or more processes that identify, collect, and prepare data to evaluate the attainment of student outcomes. Effective assessment uses relevant direct, indirect, quantitative, and qualitative measures as appropriate to the outcome being measured. Appropriate sampling methods may be used as part of an assessment process.

Evaluation. Evaluation is one or more processes for interpreting the data and evidence accumulated through assessment processes. Evaluation determines the extent to which student outcomes are being attained. Evaluation results in decisions and actions regarding program improvement.

CAEMHSE Accreditation Standards are in two parts.

- 1) **Program Criteria.** Program Criteria describe the context in which a degree program supports the education process how and they apply to all programs accredited by CAEMHSE. Each program accredited by CAEMHSE must demonstrate in a self-study how they achieve each stated Program Criterion. Programs may use both institutional support and their institution's general education program to meet the Program Criteria.
- 2) **Discipline-Specific Criteria.** Discipline-Specific Criteria are characterized by discipline-specific knowledge domains. Programs seeking accreditation must show that graduates acquire the knowledge, abilities, and skills implied in the definition of each knowledge domain. Choice of student learning outcomes representing the knowledge domain is up to the program.

Accreditation and Reaccreditation through the CAEMHSE

Applying for Accreditation

The CAEMHSE accreditation process is voluntary. The Council will review an educational program only upon invitation by the institution granting the culminating degree. The initial request that the CAEMHSE conduct an accreditation review (assessment) of the emergency management program must come from the Chair or Department Head of the institution's emergency management program. The application must also be an action that has been approved by the chief executive officer of the institution, or an institutional administrator authorized to act on behalf of the chief executive officer.

Formal application may be made according to the program's schedule for seeking accreditation; however, application materials would normally be submitted a six months to a year, or more, prior to the assessment activity, be it virtual/online or a physical site visit.

Currently accredited programs will be contacted by the Council during the beginning of their final year of accreditation, and will then submit an application for re-accreditation.

Determining Program Readiness

Success in seeking CAEMHSE accreditation is dependent on the program's ability to demonstrate compliance with, and achievement of all CAEMHSE Standards and Criteria. For this reason, programs are encouraged to undertake a thorough self-study of compliance with CAEMHSE Standards prior to applying for accreditation, which will usually shorten the time between application and assessment. CAEMHSE provides guidance for self-study development in the CAEMHSE document *Emergency Management Education Self-Study Guide for Accreditation*, to assist programs in organizing a detailed examination of their program, and comparison of their program with recommended educational guidelines (CAEMHSE Standards).

The Application

Applicants for an accreditation assessment must use the CAEMHSE application form available on the website (www.caemhse.education/membership), or duplicate the format. A Microsoft (MS) Word document will be provided upon request. An online/email application, with attachments, is fully acceptable.

The application must be accompanied by the following components. Applications missing any of these items will be considered incomplete and returned to the program.

- ✓ A request for the type of assessment desired: virtual, one-person on-site, or three-person on-site. (See The Accreditation Process document on the website.)
- ✓ An introductory letter describing the institution and a brief history of the degree program(s) within the institution (academic location, degree(s) offered—including degree title(s), graduation numbers, and rates, etc.).
- ✓ A brief (no more than two pages) description of methods used to assess program readiness for seeking CAEMHSE accreditation. The program should address if and how the CAEMHSE Standards were used to assess readiness.
- ✓ Documentation that institutional and program eligibility requirements are met. If this information is documented in institution publications, a copy of the publication(s)

- may be submitted as evidence, with the appropriate pages tagged/identified. Otherwise, copies of original documents or a letter from a representative of the institution must be submitted indicating compliance with all criteria.
- ✓ The application fee in U.S. Dollars (refer to Due & Fees document on the website). CAEMHSE membership dues may be paid prior to accreditation application or at the time of application.

It is preferred that the entire application process be accomplished as attachments in an email to the CAEMHSE Assessment Manager.

After an application is considered complete, the CAEMHSE Assessment Manager, working with the Assessor Manager, will determine the composition of an assessment team. A Team Lead will be designated, and s/he will begin coordination with the institutional representative to map out preliminary details such as the estimated date of the assessment, expense reimbursement procedures for any visiting assessors (e.g., GSA Schedule or what the institution will supply), and the list of assessment activities.

The Accreditation Decision

A “program” is defined as curriculum leading to confirmation of a degree diploma at the conclusion of study. Examples are: Associate of Applied Science in Emergency Management degree program, or a Bachelor of Science in Emergency Management degree, or a Master of Science in Emergency Management. An institution may request assessment and accreditation of more than one program (associate’s, bachelor’s, and/or master’s in emergency management or homeland security, or a composite program¹). Assessing more than one program during an assessment may require (an) additional assessor(s) and/or time of engagement, and additional fees. The assessment team will prepare an initial assessment report. After review of the assessment report, a final assessment report will be presented and the Council will determine the appropriate designation; that is, either a program is awarded an accreditation, or a conditional accreditation, or a notification of non-accreditation. Feedback will be offered during and after the virtual or on-site visit, and in follow-up communications.

Reaccreditation

A program may apply for reaccreditation during the fifth (or final) year, with a site visit not normally required. A site visit will be required every ten years. The second reaccreditation (at the 10-year mark) may result in an accreditation of five or more years, up to ten years.

During the year prior to the expiration date of the program's current grant of accreditation, programs will receive notification of their reaccreditation cycle, the timelines for each step of the process, the due dates for documents, and for the fees required.

Membership and Fees

To submit a Self-Study and to apply to be accredited, membership in the CAEMHSE is required, beginning with the application for assessment, or sooner, and continuing for the

¹ For example, a school may offer a bachelor’s of science in emergency management, a bachelor’s of science in homeland security, a master’s of science in emergency management, and a master’s of science in homeland security, which would comprise four programs, each requiring an assessment of quality.

duration of accreditation (The Accredited Program fee applies). Fees and Dues are explained on the website (Membership tab)

Professional Accreditation Standards

Assessing the Standards (i.e., Program and Discipline-Specific Criteria)

These standards proscribe the elements of comprehensive education in the fields. There are a total of 27 standards for emergency management associate's and bachelor's degrees, and 16 standards for master's degrees. (*In CAEMHSE documents, the standards are identified with an asterisk (*).*)

The standards are separated into four sections:

1. Resources and Institutional Support, which describe the physical attributes associated with adequate support for the institution's educational endeavors (11 – 1.0 Standards). These standards are common to all degrees.
2. Program Learning Outcomes, which describe the comprehensiveness of an emergency management or homeland security concentration (or major) curriculum or program structure (12 – 2.0 Standards). These standards are common to all degrees.
3. Emergency Management-Specific Criteria which describes the elements of comprehensive emergency management needed in program courses to encompass the scope of the field of emergency management (4 – 3.0 Standards).

NOTES:

1. Associate's degrees will have a reduced quantity of academic credits.
2. Blended or combined emergency management and homeland security degree programs can also be assessed and accredited.
4. Program curriculum which describes the elements of a master's degree (16 – 4.0 Standards), in emergency management (or a blended degree). The Council has determined that a master's degree program must meet a majority (9) of the 16 standards, preferably at least 12.

1.0 Resources and Institutional Support (11 Standards*)

- 1.1* *Institution Accreditation.* In the United States, an academic institution must be accredited by a regional or national accrediting body approved by the US Department of Education. In the case of foreign universities, the institution is accredited by a generally accepted international higher education institution accrediting body.
- 1.2* *Facilities and Other Resources.* The institution provides program-specific services to support the programs' mission where needed (e.g., if the program has an emergency operations center (EOC), then support for maintaining and equipping the EOC is provided by the institution).

- 1.3* *Office Space.* Office space shall be provided for program faculty and the program coordinator. An area for private and group meetings is provided. Instructional space, technology, and materials are provided, maintained, and updated consistent with program goals, course content, and delivery platforms. Other critical materials to support instruction are provided as needed. The program regularly assesses the adequacy of program instructional space and equipment including the extent to which the space and equipment available is compatible with the instructional needs of the program.
- 1.4* *Equipment and Supplies.* Equipment and supplies to support office operations is provided as appropriate to support faculty responsibilities and effectively accomplish program objectives and goals given program delivery model.
- 1.5* *Technical Support.* Technical support for instructional technologies is provided as appropriate to help faculty meet their responsibilities and effectively accomplish program objectives and goals given program delivery models.
- 1.6* *Library.* The program will work with the library to make available emergency management and/or homeland security scholarly journals and books to students and faculty. The library shall make these journals and books easily accessible to students and faculty given the delivery format of the program. Instruction and assistance in the use of the library will be readily available and accessible to students. There should be a mechanism for faculty review and input regarding titles for acquisition.
- 1.7* *Program Documentation.* The program provides clear, consistent, and reliable information to the public, and current and prospective students regarding:
- a. A statement of purpose that conveys the focus of the degree being offered for standards to apply.
 - b. The orientation of the program (e.g., theoretical vs. applied, disciplinary approach or span).
 - c. The specialty/concentration/area of focus of the program.
 - d. A stated description of the degree or degrees offered including learning outcomes for each degree.
 - e. A description of the admission process and policies.
 - f. A listing of program faculty and their qualifications.
 - g. A description of curriculum structure and degree requirements.
 - h. Examples of student experiences while in the program, employment opportunities (e.g., Bureau of Labor Statistics), and achievements post-graduation.
- 1.8* *Program Organization.* The institution clearly identifies the program and its organizational structure including its location and relationship within the broader institution. The program faculty shall determine the program's design and development, implementation, evaluation, and revision of program curriculum in accordance with the institution's policy and procedures.

The program must have a coordinator or director, designated in writing, who has authority and responsibility for managing the program. The coordinator/director position must have a detailed job description that establishes the percentage of time

dedicated to program coordination. The program coordinator/director must receive adequate compensation in the form of additional salary or course release. The coordinator/director must be qualified for program management by virtue of his/ her education and experience.

The coordinator/director, working with other emergency management and/or homeland security faculty, shall have input in the recruitment and hiring of faculty who will teach within the degree program.

- 1.9* *Budget.* The optimum situation is that the program coordinator/director has influence in the institution's formal budget process relative to the degree program(s), in accordance with the institution's policy and procedures. Regardless of any influence on the budget from the program, the institution should provide adequate funding to accomplish the programs' goals and objectives and these standards.

1.10* *Human Resources (Faculty and Administrative Support).*

- 1.10.1 *Program Faculty.* The program shall have a sufficient number of faculty to implement program objectives. The program must have at least one full time faculty member teaching in the program. (The program coordinator and the teaching faculty member may be the same individual.) If the institution offers more than one degree program, it shall meet the above requirement for each program. The basic minimum for instructor education is "not less than one degree higher;" e.g., bachelor's degree students must be taught by instructors with not less than a bachelor's degree, but *preferably* a higher degree. The program should endeavor to have faculty with higher level degrees than the degree being instructed. The students are best served when faculty have subject matter expertise and/or research experience in the field. Finally, the best learning is effected by instructors familiar with educational methodology.

CAEMHSE's recommendations:

In an associate's degree program, at least 25 percent of the course hours in an academic year are taught by faculty with at least a master's degree in emergency management, or a closely related field, and experience related to the field(s).

In bachelor's degree programs, at least 33 percent of the course hours in the program are taught by faculty with a doctoral degree in emergency management, or a closely related field, and experience related to the field(s).

In master's degree programs, at least 50 percent of the course hours in the program are taught by faculty with a doctoral degree in emergency management, or a closely related field, and research or experience related to those fields.

- 1.10.2 *Full-time Faculty Qualifications.* Full-time faculty shall have academic and/or professional experience appropriate to their areas of responsibility. Full-time faculty shall participate in relevant professional and/or scholarly associations. Full-time faculty shall engage in scholarly research, practice, and/or creative activity leading to professional growth and the advancement of the profession.

Full-time faculty shall demonstrate continuing professional development related to their areas of teaching and research interests.

- 1.10.3 *Adjunct Faculty Qualifications.* Adjunct faculty teaching degree courses shall have program-relevant education, training, and experience. In addition:

For associate's degree programs, a significant percentage of the instructors should have at least a bachelor's degree in a program-relevant field, or a bachelor's degree and experience related to the program's field.

For bachelor's degree programs, a majority of the instructors should have at least a master's degree in a program-relevant field, or a master's degree and experience related to the program's field.

For master's degree programs, a majority of instructors should have at least a doctoral degree in a program-relevant field, or a master's degree and experience or research related to the program's field.

Graduate Teaching Assistants/Teaching Fellows teaching in associate or bachelor's degree programs must have completed a minimum of six graduate semester hours (or equivalent) in a program-relevant or closely related field. They must work under the supervision of a full-time faculty member teaching the program's courses, and will have their instructional performance evaluated and documented, in accordance with department or university policy.

- 1.10.4 *Administrative Assistance.* Administrative support (including the preparation and processing of materials, correspondence, and records) is provided as appropriate to help the program coordinator/director and the faculty meet their responsibilities and effectively accomplish program objectives and goals given the program delivery model.

- 1.11* *Program Assessment.* The program maintains an ongoing process, documented in written procedures, for assessing achievement of program learning outcomes. The program uses input from various groups (for example, enrolled students, faculty members, employers, alumni, advisory board, local emergency management or homeland security personnel) and assessment results to develop and implement strategies to improve curriculum, course content, and instructional delivery.

2.0 Program Learning Outcomes (12 Standards *)

The program must have published program educational objectives that are consistent with the mission of the institution, the needs of the program's various constituencies, and these criteria. There must be a documented, systematically utilized, and effective process, involving program constituencies, for the periodic review of these program educational objectives that ensures they remain consistent with the institutional mission, the program's constituents' needs, and these criteria.

Each of the following numbered items is a standard for program structure. Additional guidance is provided in the Self-Study Guide.

- 2.1* The program has defined program learning outcomes for the degree.

- 2.2* The curriculum is reflected in a written degree plan.
 - 2.3* Each course in the degree plan has a syllabus.
 - 2.4* Course learning objectives, consistent across sections and offerings, have been established for each course reflected in the degree plan and support the program learning outcomes regardless of delivery mode.
 - 2.5* The curriculum follows a logical sequence that begins with foundational content and progresses to more complex and in-depth content.
 - 2.6* The program maintains an ongoing process, documented in written procedures, to assess achievement of course and program learning outcomes and to improve curriculum, course content, and instructional delivery.
 - 2.7* The program uses input from internal and external constituencies to develop and implement strategies to improve curriculum, course content, and instructional delivery.
- 2.8 Program assessment data is available to the public upon request to include:
- 2.8.1* The program demonstrates evidence of student learning at the end of each semester/term.
 - 2.8.2* The program provides evidence of graduate achievement.
- 2.9* Courses in the curriculum are grounded in significant, substantive research from both classical and current topic area(s).
 - 2.10* The curriculum addresses topics that benefit students pursuing a wide variety of career paths in emergency management or homeland security, or related fields.
 - 2.11* Program design (emergency management or homeland security fields): (select one)
 - 2.11.1 *Associate's degree.* The associate's degree requires not less than 12 credit hours of course work in core [emergency management] content, with an additional 3 credit hours in subjects directly related to the field, such as intelligence, cyber security, geographic information systems (GIS), logistics, public affairs, public health, homeland security, business impact analysis, business continuity, and etc.
 - 2.11.2 *Bachelor's degree.* The bachelor's degree requires not less than 30 credit hours of core [emergency management] content. At least 24 credit hours must be core content in the field; the remaining 6 credit hours should be in content relevant to the field, such as intelligence, cyber security, geographic information systems (GIS), logistics, public affairs, public health, homeland security, business impact analysis, business continuity, and etc.
 - 2.11.3 *Master's degree.* The master's degree requires not less than 12 credit hours of core content in the field (which includes leadership), but may include content relevant to the field. The research and thesis or capstone project requirement should focus on [a] topic[s] relevant to the field. It is expected that the student be conversant (by degree and/or experience) in the field or discipline when entering the degree program.

3.0 Discipline-Specific Criteria for Emergency Management

(The standards are listed in TAB A on page 19)

(Associate's and Bachelor's degree – 4 Standards*)

The Emergency Management Higher Education community of program directors and faculty has gathered annually at the FEMA National Education Training Center's Emergency Management Institute (EMI) for more than 20 years of conferences, and now symposiums, discussing a wide range of emergency management education topics (<https://training.fema.gov/hiedu/>).

Program curriculum for Emergency Management adhere to the same standards for both the associate's and bachelor's degree programs. The differences between the programs can be observed in the depth of knowledge within the curricula, and scaled on Webb's Depth-of-Knowledge Model Context Ceilings² in the areas of recall and reproduction; basic application of skills and concepts; strategic thinking; and extended thinking.

This framework identifies the level of rigor and assessment between 100/200 level courses and 300/400 level courses:

100 and 200 level courses: subject survey, literature review, vocabulary building, general education courses for liberal arts, textbook and lecture learning, multiple choice and essay assessments, presentations.

300 and 400 level courses: problem solving, case studies, group work with student leadership in assimilating material for peers, research, challenge assignments – defend a position, present to an outside department or institution, internships, field experience, independent study, and career preparation.

The CAEMHSE standards for curriculum assessment were modeled after a conference report by an *Emergency Management Higher Education Program Accreditation Focus Group* (September 16-17, 2015), a group of seven academics, as sponsored by FEMA/EMI. This report can be found at

https://training.fema.gov/hiedu/docs/standardsaccreditationfocusgroup_fall2015use.pdf³

These standards are not intended to dictate specifics of program design. Program design is left to the discretion of the academic unit. Topics must be covered as part of the core curriculum (not through electives), but individual or specific courses for each topic are not required. A chart/matrix has been developed to assist with topic identification across courses (see the Curriculum Matrices (Appendix C1) provided in the CAEMHSE Accreditation Self-Study Guidelines).

The standards differ according to the degree: undergraduate (TAB A), and graduate (TAB B).

² https://www.aps.edu/sapr/documents/resources/Webbs_DOK_Guide.pdf

³ A more detailed examination of these standards (and recommended reading) was accomplished through work by Shirley Feldman-Jensen, R.N., D.P.P.D., Steven Jensen, D.P.P.D., and Sandy Maxwell Smith, R.N., Ph.D. in *The Next Generation Core Competencies for Emergency Management Professionals: Handbook of Behavioral Anchors and Key Actions for Measurement* (August 2017)

(<https://training.fema.gov/hiedu/docs/final %20ngcc%20and%20measures 8-13-2017.pdf>). An examination of this document will likely enhance an understanding of the application of this work relevant to emergency management curriculum and degree program organization.

Graduates of Emergency Management and similarly titled programs should have the knowledge, technical, administrative, and communication skills necessary to succeed in a job in the emergency management field, regardless of public or private. This knowledge may accrue across the student experience in the entire program, or at the course level.

The required minimum set of knowledge domains (curriculum standards) for all emergency management programs, and programs of similar title:

- I* Foundations of Emergency Management
- II* Phases and Mission Areas of Emergency Management
- III* Practical Experience and Application
- IV* Professionalism

4.0 Master's Degree Program Curriculum (a MAJORITY of the 16 Standards*) (The standards are listed in TAB B on page 21)

A graduate program is focused on a specific area of interest and on acquiring specialized skills for a profession, or to do advanced research. It requires active participation in research and practice. Frequently internships and/or field experiences that offer opportunities to practice professional skills are also a part of the curriculum.

Master's degrees may focus on research, professional practice, or both. At the master's level, a professional degree gives the student a specific set of skills needed to practice a particular profession. The research master's degree provides experience in research and scholarship, while the professional master's degree often involves an internship, fieldwork, or a final project. The degree often requires a written thesis or capstone project.

The research master's degree may be in science, education, business, engineering, the fine arts, nursing, social work, or some other area of professional activity. It prepares the student for a career in a particular area or allows an enhancement of skills in an existing career. The professional master's degree can do the same, depending on the career aspirations and the area of the degree. The student may have to write a thesis, or take a comprehensive exam, or do both. The Professional Science Master's (PSM) is designed to combine the scientific training necessary to advance and excel in science with courses in management, policy or law. PSM programs emphasize the written and verbal communication, leadership, and team-building skills required in professional settings.⁴

The Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF)⁵ reports:

Characteristics of learning outcomes at this level include the mastery or overview of the relevant field of study or area of professional practice and the emphasis may range from the acquisition or enhancement of specific professional or vocational skills and knowledge, usually undertaken in a combination of coursework and research, through to

⁴ Source (edited): *Graduate School and You: A Guide for Prospective Graduate Students*, published by the Council of Graduate Schools (2014) <https://cgsnet.org/graduate-school-and-you-guide-prospective-graduate-students-1>

⁵ We are using the Australian guidelines because the U.S. Department of Education doesn't seem to have equivalent guidance.

the acquisition of in-depth understanding in a specific area of knowledge which is usually undertaken through research.

Graduates of a master's degree possess a range of academic and vocational attributes such as:

- *advanced knowledge of a specialist body of theoretical and applied topics;*
- *high order skills in analysis, critical evaluation and/or professional application through the planning and execution of project work or a piece of scholarship or research;*
- *creativity and flexibility in the application of knowledge and skills to new situations; and*
- *the ability to solve complex problems and think rigorously and independently.*⁶

The expectation is that the master's degree will focus on examination of management and leadership styles, preparing people to lead and manage [larger] organizations in diverse and complex situations. Expected within management of the organization is an understanding of budgeting and financial management. Common to organizational leadership is the ability to operate within upper management protocols and situations, including political environments.

Master's degree courses, then, should be at a more advanced nature (than bachelor's degree content) within the field of emergency management or homeland security, and relevant to the topics above. It is presumed that advanced degree graduates will have a great familiarity with the field through an undergraduate education and/or experience in the field.

The degree program can be either a thesis endeavor, usually comprised of two-thirds effort toward research and thesis preparation in the field; or a capstone project, using research methodology as appropriate. Again, from AQF, "*the professional master's degree program, which may involve a work-based project, with entry from a relevant qualification and professional experience or extensive relevant professional experience.*"

Most master's degree programs have credit hour requirements in the 30–36 credit hours range. The Council has established that a master's degree program should not have less than 30 credit hours.

The Self-Study and subsequent assessment should reveal that the program meets a majority (9) of the 16 standards, with a preference toward meeting 12 or more of the standards.

⁶ Source: *Higher Education Qualification Guidelines, AQF Implementation Handbook, 4th Edition* (2007)

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081109074523/http://www.aqf.edu.au/implem.htm>)

& (<https://web.archive.org/web/20081021072219/http://www.aqf.edu.au/masters.htm>)

TABs A & B

Degree Program Assessment

There are three components involved in writing up an assessment: 1) this document, 2) the documents from the institution's Self-Study—including a completed Curriculum Matrix (or Matrices if more than one program is being assessed)—and 3) an Assessor's Checklist (or Worksheet).

The assessment process is to examine the institution's compliance with the Standards guidance. The assessors determine if the institution support and program meets (or exceeds) the standards as presented in the 1.0 and 2.0 sections. Using the Assessor's Worksheet, the assessor grades the items as Compliant, Partially-Compliant, or Non-Compliant.

Associate's and Baccalaureate degrees: for assessing compliance with the Standards established for curriculum content, the assessor will consider the Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) attributes for the program(s) being assessed. Not all SLOs are required to be present in the curriculum.

Tab A contains the standards for an emergency management program's undergraduate (associate's or bachelor's) degree curriculum. The assessor will determine if sufficient SLOs are present in the curriculum for each knowledge domain.

Tab B lists guidance for assessing a program's master's degree curriculum. The assessor(s) will determine if a majority (9 or more of the 16, preferably 12 or more) of the standards are being met.

Assessors will then discuss their individual assessments with other team members, and offer an opinion on the degree's compliance in total. The Team Leader will write up the assessment recommendations.

Assessment of academic achievement through a taxonomic structure.

“[A] taxonomic approach is designed to enable consistency in the way in which qualifications are described as well as clarity about the differences and relationships between qualification types.”⁷

The learning outcomes are constructed as a taxonomy of what graduates are expected to know, understand and be able to do as a result of learning. They are expressed in terms of the dimensions of knowledge, skills and the application of knowledge and skills.

Knowledge is what a graduate knows and understands. It is described in terms of depth, breadth, kinds of knowledge and complexity, as follows:

⁷ Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF), 2nd Ed., 2013, pg. 11. While not U.S.-centric, this approach to evaluating academic achievement is a good one, and seems fully applicable to CAEMHSE's assessment goals.

- depth of knowledge can be general or specialized
- breadth of knowledge can range from a single topic to multi-disciplinary area of knowledge
- kinds of knowledge range from concrete to abstract, from segmented to cumulative
- complexity of knowledge refers to the combination of kinds, depth and breadth of knowledge.

Skills are what a graduate can do. Skills are described in terms of the kinds and complexity of skills and include:

- cognitive and creative skills involving the use of intuitive, logical and critical thinking
- technical skills involving dexterity and the use of methods, materials, tools and instruments
- communication skills involving written, oral, literacy and numeracy skills
- interpersonal skills and generic skills.

Application of knowledge and skills is the context in which a graduate applies knowledge and skills. Specifically:

- application is expressed in terms of autonomy, responsibility and accountability
- the context may range from the predictable to the unpredictable, and the known to the unknown, while tasks may range from routine to non-routine.

The criteria for each level and the descriptor for each qualification type include the three dimensions of the learning outcomes. The levels criteria are expressed broadly to allow for more than one qualification type to be located at the same level. The descriptor for each qualification type is more specific to underpin consistency in graduate outcomes for the qualification type regardless of the discipline.⁸

Associate's Degree	Bachelor's Degree
Knowledge Graduates of an Associate Degree will have broad theoretical and technical knowledge with some depth in the underlying principles and concepts in one or more disciplines	Knowledge Graduates of a Bachelor Degree will have a broad and coherent body of knowledge, with depth in the underlying principles and concepts in one or more disciplines as a basis for independent lifelong learning
Skills Graduates of an Associate Degree will have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cognitive skills to identify, analyze, and evaluate information and concepts from a range of sources • cognitive, technical, and creative thinking skills to demonstrate a broad understanding of knowledge and ideas with some depth in a discipline 	Skills Graduates of a Bachelor Degree will have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cognitive skills to review critically, analyze, consolidate, and synthesize knowledge • cognitive and technical skills to demonstrate a broad understanding of knowledge with depth in some areas • cognitive and creative skills to exercise critical thinking and judgement in identifying

⁸ AQF, pg. 11

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cognitive, communication, and analytical skills to interpret and transmit responses to sometimes complex problems • communication skills to make a clear and coherent presentation of knowledge and ideas with some intellectual independence 	<p>and solving problems with intellectual independence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • communication skills to present a clear, coherent, and independent exposition of knowledge and ideas
<p>Application of knowledge and skills</p> <p>Graduates of an Associate Degree will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • with initiative and judgement in planning, problem solving and decision making in paraprofessional practice • to adapt knowledge and skills in a range of contexts and/or for further studies in one or more disciplines • to adapt fundamental principles, concepts and techniques to known and unknown situations • with responsibility and accountability for own learning and work and in collaboration with others within broad parameters 	<p>Application of knowledge and skills</p> <p>Graduates of a Bachelor Degree will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • with initiative and judgement in planning, problem solving and decision making in professional practice and/or scholarship • to adapt knowledge and skills in diverse contexts • with responsibility and accountability for own learning and professional practice and in collaboration with others within broad parameters

(Table information above from *Australian Qualifications Framework*, 2nd Ed. 2013, [Edited])

Student Outcomes	Student Outcomes
<p>Associate's degree program student outcomes must include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> An ability to demonstrate knowledge of contemporary or emergent threats, challenges or issues including natural, manmade and technological hazards An ability to communicate effectively with a wide range of audiences An ability to function effectively on teams that establish goals, plan tasks meet deadlines An ability to recognize the concepts of ethics and professionalism in the homeland security enterprise (which includes emergency management). 	<p>Undergraduate degree program student outcomes must include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> An ability to understand and interpret research An ability to demonstrate knowledge of contemporary or emergent threats, challenges or issues including natural, manmade and technological hazards An ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences through written papers, presentations, and briefs Recognize the concepts of ethics and professionalism in the homeland security enterprise (which includes emergency management) An ability to function effectively on teams that establish goals, plan tasks, meet deadlines, analyze risk and uncertainty.

Graduate Certificates and [Master's] Degrees

Knowledge

Graduates of a Graduate Certificate will have specialized knowledge within a systematic and coherent body of knowledge that may include the acquisition and application of knowledge and skills in a new or existing discipline or professional area

Skills

Graduates of a Graduate Certificate will have:

- cognitive skills to review, analyze, consolidate and synthesize knowledge and identify and provide solutions to complex problems
- cognitive skills to think critically and to generate and evaluate complex ideas
- specialized technical and creative skills in a field of highly skilled and/or professional practice
- communication skills to demonstrate an understanding of theoretical concepts
- communication skills to transfer complex knowledge and ideas to a variety of audiences

Application of knowledge and skills

Graduates of a Graduate Certificate will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills:

- to make high level, independent judgements in a range of technical or management functions in varied specialized contexts
- to initiate, plan, implement and evaluate broad functions within varied specialized technical and/or creative contexts
- with responsibility and accountability for personal outputs and all aspects of the work or function of others within broad parameters

(Table information from *Australian Qualifications Framework*, 2nd Ed. 2013, pg. 16 [Edited])

TAB A
UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE in EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT
(4 Standards*)

Assessors will examine programs, for the degree level proffered (associate's or bachelor's), focusing on the depth of exposure to the body of knowledge for the degree.

Assessment Standards (*) for an Undergraduate Emergency Management Degree

Example Set of Knowledge Domain Definitions and Course Level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) Per Domain. Each Domain is a Standard (4* total)

Like Homeland Security Knowledge Domains (TAB C2), Emergency Management can be organized into Knowledge Domains (also representing our standards) to represent the major areas of study that should comprise an undergraduate degree curriculum, and subsequently entry level positions, in Emergency Management. Each knowledge domain has specific Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) associated with it that are examples of specific knowledge, skills, or abilities that graduates should obtain upon successful completion of a core course.

Each knowledge domain has specific Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) associated with it that are examples of specific knowledge, skills, or abilities that graduates should obtain upon successful completion of a core course. The Self-Study should show, and the intent of the assessment is to confirm, that sufficient content (SLOs) within each domain is present in the curriculum (not ALL SLOs are required to be present for success in the Domain):

3.1* Domain I: Foundational topics of emergency management are addressed in the program curriculum:

- SLO 3.1.1 Demonstrate knowledge of hazards, hazard processes and characteristics, and hazard analysis.
- SLO 3.1.2 Demonstrate knowledge of vulnerability theories, types, and analysis.
- SLO 3.1.3 Demonstrate knowledge of risk, risk perception, and risk assessment.
- SLO 3.1.4 Demonstrate knowledge of crises, emergencies, disasters, catastrophes, complex humanitarian events, and distinctions among the types.
- SLO 3.1.5 Demonstrate knowledge of historical and contextual awareness of disasters and emergency management.
- SLO 3.1.6 Demonstrate knowledge of professionalism of the field including The Principles of Emergency Management, ethics, certifications, and associations/affiliations related to different career options.
- SLO 3.1.7 Demonstrate knowledge of international and comparative dimensions of emergency management.

3.2* Domain II: Key topics across the mission areas of mitigation, prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery are covered in the curriculum:

- SLO 3.2.1 Demonstrate knowledge of social, cultural, and economic dimensions relevant to emergency management.

- SLO 3.2.2 Demonstrate knowledge of political, legal, and fiscal contexts of emergency management.
- SLO 3.2.3 Demonstrate knowledge of current emergency management policy and standards that guide emergency management practice.
- SLO 3.2.4 Demonstrate knowledge of tasks and activities of individuals and households, organizations, communities, and levels of government, including functional areas, across the public, private, and non-governmental sectors.
- SLO 3.2.5 Demonstrate knowledge of use and implications of communication methods and technological tools relevant to emergency management.

3.3* Domain III: The program provides opportunities for students to gain practical emergency management experience and apply knowledge gained from the program in a professional setting through an internship or practicum based on guidelines of the institution.

3.4* Domain IV: Professionalism. While building knowledge related to the above-listed areas, the program provides students opportunities to develop the following skills:

- SLO 3.4.1 Exhibit written, visual, verbal, interpersonal, and group communication skills.
- SLO 3.4.2 Describe and experience network-building, advocacy, and stakeholder engagement.
- SLO 3.4.3 Demonstrate knowledge of analytical thinking, problem solving, and decision making.
- SLO 3.4.4 Experience application of research in practice.
- SLO 3.4.5 Demonstrate knowledge of leadership and management.

TAB B

MASTER'S DEGREE

(*16 Standards)**

Given the diversity of master's degree programs (type, titles, focus areas, etc.), **a successful assessment for accreditation may occur when the master's degree curriculum meets a majority (9 or more) of the 16 standards (preferably at least 12):**

- 4.1* The study of leadership styles in times of normal operations and crisis
- 4.2* The study of management and control of organizations, including finances (budgeting, forecasting, monitoring of execution, etc.) and contracting
- 4.3* The study of needs assessment, planning, program development, and project management
- 4.4* The study of facilitation, collaboration, teamwork, partnerships, and diverse means of enabling organizations to accomplish their mission(s)
- 4.5* The study of government and politics, including local, tribal, state, and federal jurisdictional structures, laws and statutes, funding, and legal and organizational frameworks
- 4.6* An understanding of the capabilities and roles of agents and actors in public, non-profit, private industry, NGOs and NVOAD organizations, and the military
- 4.7* An understanding of not just the U.S. perspective, but the global perspective covering the diversity of policies and practices within the international and multi-cultural communities
- 4.8* An understanding of the value of ethics and mental health, pre- and post-disaster
- 4.9* Advanced knowledge of a specialist body of theoretical and applied topics
- 4.10* High order skills in analysis, critical evaluation, and/or professional application through the planning and execution of project work or a piece of scholarship, research, or an internship
- 4.11* Creativity and flexibility in the application of knowledge and skills to new situations, scenarios, and case studies
- 4.12* Maturity of critical thinking and decision-making skills
- 4.13* The study of policy making and strategic planning
- 4.14* The ability to solve complex problems and think rigorously and independently
- 4.15* Research, analysis, and synthesis leading toward a thesis or capstone project, or an internship
- 4.16* Thesis or capstone project product, or an internship